REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
-EXPEDITED PROCEDURE –
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

## REMARKS

In the Final Office Action mailed on November 20, 2007, the Examiner failed to address the text of claims 1, 5, 7, 14, 15, 16, 21, and 30 as presented in Applicant's communication of September 7, 2007. For example, Applicant's claim 1 as presented in Applicant's communication of September 7, 2007 recites in part, "causing the remote command to be executed concurrently on each of the at least two remote systems, including issuing the remote command to the environment variable"; however, the Final Office Action addressed "causing the remote command to be executed on each of the at least two remote systems" (see Office Action, page 2). During a telephone interview on May 13, 2008, the Examiner agreed to examine the claims and issue a notice of allowance or subsequent Office Action as appropriate.

Applicants respectfully submit pending claims 1-32 are now in condition for allowance. If there are any remaining matters that may be handled by telephone conference, the <a href="Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.">Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.</a>

Respectfully Submitted, Lee & Hayes, PLLC

Dated: May 13, 2008\_\_\_\_\_

By: /Benjamin Keim/ Benjamin A. Keim

Reg. No. 59,217 (509) 324-9256 x248

Christopher W. Lattin Reg. No. 56,064 (509) 324-9256 x263